### Webberville High School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

April 5, 2016

Dear Parents and Community Members:

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2014-15 educational progress for Webberville High School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact me for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site <u>http://www.webbervilleschools.org/district/annual-and-state-reports</u> or you may review a copy in our main office at your child's school.

Webberville High School has just gone through a major transition in staffing, which has continued throughout the school year. This has had an impact relationships with students and families, as this requires consistency, long-term investment, and trust. Our school improvement plan (SIP), as well as our regular staff and community surveys, indicate a need for improvement in the family and community engagement area, and this is a goal that administration agrees needs to be addressed, but that is difficult to do with changes in staffing. Changes in staffing also impact programs, curriculum, and progression toward improvement.

State law requires that we also report additional information for the two most recent years:

- 1. PROCESS FOR ASSIGNING PUPILS TO THE SCHOOL
  - a. Students are assigned to the appropriate school by grade level. We also welcome schools of choice students as long as they are within half a credit of being on pace to graduate on time, have an attendance record showing no truancy, and have not been suspended in the last calendar year in which they attended a public school.
- 2. THE STATUS OF THE 3-5 YEAR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN
  - a. Our school improvement plan is based on the MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Support) Model. We are in the seventh year of development and implementation. Universal screening is done in the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> grades in reading and math, and MME and M-STEP scores,

as well as pre- and post-test data tied to standards taught in core courses, links students to provided interventions as needed. Our school improvement plan will focus on all core content areas for growth in each area.

- 3. A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH SPECIALIZED SCHOOL
  - a. We have no specialized schools in the high school.
- 4. IDENTIFY HOW TO ACCESS A COPY OF THE CORE CURRICULUM, A DESCRIPTION OF ITS IMPLEMENTATION AND AN EXPLANATION OF THE VARIANCES FROM THE STATE'S MODEL
  - a. Each department is responsible for maintaining a copy of its core curriculum. Core curriculum in all areas is housed electronically in our common network drive files where administrators also have access. Department leaders and teachers are required to keep these files upto-date based on current curricular choices. The state standards are pared down to allow teachers to work toward student mastery of the objectives. The standards embedded in our curriculum are selected for their enduring value and interconnectivity, and are based on preparation for state assessments.
  - b. Departments delegate which standards will be introduced in which course and where those standards will be reinforced. Course pre- and post-tests of standards measures mastery of content in each core course.
- 5. THE AGGREGATE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS FOR ANY LOCAL COMPETENCY TESTS OR NATIONALLY NORMED ACHIEVEMENT TESTS:

2014-2015	Test Results			
School	Subject/Test	#Tested	Points	WHS
			Possible*	Median
WHS Socia	al Studies M-STEP	29	38	16
WHS Math	ematics M-STEP	29	35	8
WHS Engli	sh Language Arts M-STEP	29	50	16
WHS Scier	nce M-STEP	29	40	16
*No State /	Averages Provided for M-ST	TEP new in Sp	oring 2015	
School	Subject/Test	#Tested	State Avg.	Student
			Composite	Avg. Comp
WHS Math	ematics ACT	31	19.9	17.7
WHS Read	ling ACT	31	20.2	15.9

31

31

31

19.3

20.4

20.1

16.6

17.5

17.1

## 2014-2015 Test Results

WHS English ACT

WHS Science ACT

WHS Composite ACT

### 2015-16 Test Results

Grade	Subject/Test	#Tes	ted	State Profic	Avg. % ient	% Students Proficient
11	Social Studies M-S	TEP	51		N/A	43
11	Science M-STEP		51		N/A	31
School	Subject/Test	#Tested Possible	Total Avg.		WHS Nat. %ile	Student Comp.
11	Mathematics SAT	50	800		34%ile	456.8
11	EBRW (Eng) SAT	50	800		40%ile	473.6
11	Composite SAT	50	1600		36%ile	930.4
10 9	PSAT 10 PSAT 9	38 44	1600 1600		42%ile 47%ile	891.1 871.4

- 6. IDENTIFY THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STUDENTS REPRESENTED BY PARENTS AT PARENT-TEACHER CONFERENCES
  - a. The parents of 89 high school students attended parent-teacher conferences in the 2014-15 school year. This total represents 48% of our total parents.
  - b. The parents of 86 high school students attended parent-teacher conferences in the 2015-16 school year. This total represents 46% of our total parents.
- 7. FOR HIGH SCHOOLS ONLY ALSO REPORT ON THE FOLLOWING:
  - a. THE NUMBER AND PERCENT OF POSTSECONDARY ENROLLMENTS (DUAL ENROLLMENT):
    - Ten (10) students participated in dual enrollment programs in 2014-2015. This constituted 6% of the population eligible for dual enrollment.
    - ii. Three (3) students participated in dual enrollment programs in 2015-2016. This constituted 3% of the population eligible for dual enrollment.
  - b. THE NUMBER OF COLLEGE EQUIVALENT COURSES OFFERED (AP/IB):
    - i. We offered no A.P. courses during the 2014-15 or 2015-16 school year.

- c. THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN COLLEGE EQUIVALENT COURSES (AP/IB):
  - i. No AP or IB courses were offered in 2014-2015 or 2015-2016.
- d. THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS RECEIVING A SCORE LEADING TO COLLEGE CREDIT: five (5) students, 3%.

Thank you for your interest in and support of Webberville High School. If you have further questions about the school, assessment scores, curriculum, or current initiatives, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Kathy Pierman, Webberville Middle and High School Principal Webberville Community Schools



#### M-STEP Grades 3-8

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	41.5%	43.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	White	2013-14	47.6%	44.7%	<10	<10	<10	< 10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	42%	40.6%	<10	<10	<10	< 10	<10
Mathematics	5th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	25.9%	40%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	25.6%	25%	25%	0%	25%	47.9%	27.1%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	African American	2013-14	6.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	< 10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Asian	2013-14	46%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	White	2013-14	31.2%	23.9%	23.9%	0%	23.9%	47.8%	28.3%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	22.4%	20%	20%	0%	20%	60%	20%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	28.7%	28.6%	28.6%	0%	28.6%	39.3%	32.1%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	12.9%	17.9%	17.9%	0%	17.9%	46.4%	35.7%
Social Studies	8th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	6.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	< 10	<10



#### MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	28.5%	10.3%	10.3%	0%	10.3%	27.6%	62.1%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	28.8%	30.2%	30.2%	1.9%	28.3%	41.5%	28.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	African American	2014-15	8.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	African American	2013-14	5.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2014-15	16%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	14.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	24.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	32.4%	11.5%	11.5%	0%	11.5%	26.9%	61.5%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	33.5%	33.3%	33.3%	2.1%	31.3%	41.7%	25%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	29.1%	18.2%	18.2%	0%	18.2%	27.3%	54.5%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	26.5%	26.3%	26.3%	0%	26.3%	44.7%	28.9%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	27.8%	5.6%	5.6%	0%	5.6%	27.8%	66.7%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	31.1%	40%	40%	6.7%	33.3%	33.3%	26.7%



#### MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	14.1%	11.8%	11.8%	0%	11.8%	23.5%	64.7%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	13%	28%	28%	0%	28%	28%	44%
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	3.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	4.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	58.7%	67.9%	67.9%	9.4%	58.5%	24.5%	7.5%
Reading	11th Grade Content	African American	2013-14	31.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	58.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	65%	70.8%	70.8%	10.4%	60.4%	22.9%	6.3%
Reading	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	62.4%	76.3%	76.3%	7.9%	68.4%	18.4%	5.3%
Reading	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	55%	46.7%	46.7%	13.3%	33.3%	40%	13.3%
Reading	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	43%	48%	48%	4%	44%	40%	12%
Reading	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	24.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
ELA	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	49.3%	24.1%	24.1%	3.4%	20.7%	34.5%	41.4%
ELA	11th Grade Content	African American	2014-15	25.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2014-15	39.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	54.3%	23.1%	23.1%	0%	23.1%	34.6%	42.3%
ELA	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	55.4%	45.5%	45.5%	9.1%	36.4%	36.4%	18.2%
ELA	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	43.3%	11.1%	11.1%	0%	11.1%	33.3%	55.6%
ELA	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	34.6%	29.4%	29.4%	5.9%	23.5%	35.3%	35.3%
ELA	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	12.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	29.4%	17.2%	17.2%	0%	17.2%	20.7%	62.1%
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	28.4%	28.3%	28.3%	9.4%	18.9%	32.1%	39.6%
Science	11th Grade Content	African American	2014-15	7.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	African American	2013-14	5.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2014-15	17%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information (CEPI)



#### MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Science	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	15.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	27.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	34.2%	15.4%	15.4%	0%	15.4%	19.2%	65.4%
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	33.4%	29.2%	29.2%	10.4%	18.8%	33.3%	37.5%
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	26.7%	9.1%	9.1%	0%	9.1%	27.3%	63.6%
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	24.6%	23.7%	23.7%	5.3%	18.4%	34.2%	42.1%
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	32.1%	22.2%	22.2%	0%	22.2%	16.7%	61.1%
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	32.2%	40%	40%	20%	20%	26.7%	33.3%
Science	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	15.4%	11.8%	11.8%	0%	11.8%	23.5%	64.7%
Science	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	14.2%	16%	16%	8%	8%	28%	56%
Science	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	6.7%	<10	< 10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.5%	<10	< 10	<10	<10	< 10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	43.9%	27.6%	27.6%	0%	27.6%	48.3%	24.1%



#### MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	43.9%	39.6%	39.6%	1.9%	37.7%	50.9%	9.4%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	African American	2014-15	18%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	African American	2013-14	16.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2014-15	33%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Hispanic of Any Race	2013-14	31%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Two or More Races	2013-14	43.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	49.4%	26.9%	26.9%	0%	26.9%	50%	23.1%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	50%	41.7%	41.7%	2.1%	39.6%	50%	8.3%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	40.5%	36.4%	36.4%	0%	36.4%	54.5%	9.1%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	39.7%	34.2%	34.2%	0%	34.2%	57.9%	7.9%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Male	2014-15	47.2%	22.2%	22.2%	0%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	48.2%	53.3%	53.3%	6.7%	46.7%	33.3%	13.3%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	27.9%	23.5%	23.5%	0%	23.5%	58.8%	17.6%

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information (CEPI)



#### MME

Subject	Grade	Testing Group		State Percent Students Proficient	Percent	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Advanced	Percent Proficient	Percent Partially Proficient	Percent Not Proficient
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged		27.5%	24%	24%	0%	24%	60%	16%
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2014-15	15%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Social Studies	11th Grade Content	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	10.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Proficient Students Exceeded Progressing Proficient Proficient Proficient	Subject Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	Percent Students	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Exceeded	Percent Met	Percent Progressing
--	---------------	---------------	-------------	---	---------------------	--	---------------------	-------------	------------------------

No Data to Display



#### MI - Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	67%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	80.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	90.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	79.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2013-14	56.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	All Students	2014-15	53.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	73.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	93.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	84.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2013-14	64.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	White	2014-15	62.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information (CEPI)



#### MI - Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient	Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	92.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	79.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2013-14	53%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Female	2014-15	48.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	69.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	89.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Male	2013-14	57.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	65.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	89.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
ELA	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	78.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade Content	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	53.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information (CEPI)



#### MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group		State Percent Students Proficient	District Percent Students Proficient	School Percent Students Proficient		Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
Science		Economically Disadvantaged	2014-15	51.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



#### MI-Access Supported Independence

Proficient Students Surpassed Attained Emerging Proficient Students Proficient Proficient	Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State Percent Students Proficient	Percent Students		Percent Surpassed	Percent Attained	Percent Emerging
---	---------	-------	---------------	-------------	---	---------------------	--	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------

No Data to Display



#### **MI**-Access Participation

No Data to Display



#### Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
All Students	Mathematics	98.1%	36.5%	99.7%	N/A	96.8%	N/A
All Students	ELA	98.1%	48.5%	99.7%	N/A	96.8%	N/A
All Students	Science	97.5%	22.2%	99.2%	N/A	96.8%	N/A
All Students	Social Studies	97.4%	31.8%	99.2%	N/A	96.8%	N/A
American Indian	Mathematics	98.2%	27.8%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	ELA	98%	41.5%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	Science	97.8%	17.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian	Social Studies	97.2%	25.2%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	Mathematics	96.5%	13.5%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
African American	ELA	96.5%	24.5%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
African American	Science	95.4%	6.1%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
African American	Social Studies	95.2%	11%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Asian	Mathematics	99.1%	66.3%	< 30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	ELA	98.7%	70.2%	< 30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	Science	99%	38%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian	Social Studies	98.8%	49.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Mathematics	98.3%	23.7%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	ELA	98.3%	36.1%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Science	97.9%	11.7%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	Social Studies	97.5%	20.2%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Mathematics	99.8%	40.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



# Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject	State Tested Total	State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	ELA	99.3%	53.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Science	99.6%	21.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Social Studies	99.3%	33%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Mathematics	98.5%	33.6%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	ELA	98.5%	46.9%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Science	98.5%	20.1%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	Social Studies	98.1%	28.3%	<30	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	Mathematics	98.5%	42.5%	100%	N/A	<30	N/A
White	ELA	98.5%	55%	100%	N/A	<30	N/A
White	Science	98.1%	26.6%	100%	N/A	<30	N/A
White	Social Studies	98%	37.3%	100%	N/A	<30	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Mathematics	97.6%	22.5%	99.5%	N/A	<30	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	ELA	97.6%	33.7%	99.5%	N/A	<30	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Science	96.8%	11.7%	98.8%	N/A	<30	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	Social Studies	96.5%	17.8%	98.6%	N/A	<30	N/A
English Language Learners	Mathematics	98.6%	20.3%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	ELA	98.2%	24%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	Science	98.2%	3.9%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



#### Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Subject		State Percent Proficient	District Tested Total	District Percent Proficient*	School Tested Total	School Percent Proficient**
English Language Learners	Social Studies	97.9%	8.1%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Mathematics	97.2%	21.8%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Students With Disabilities	ELA	96.6%	24.7%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Science	96.5%	15.4%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A
Students With Disabilities	Social Studies	95%	13.9%	<30	N/A	<30	N/A



#### Accountability Details Graduation Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	78.6%	81.3%	81.3%
American Indian	64.8%	N/A	N/A
African American	64.5%	N/A	N/A
Asian	89.1%	N/A	N/A
Hispanic of Any Race	68.8%	N/A	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	78.9%	N/A	N/A
Two or More Races	74.2%	N/A	N/A
White	82.9%	82.2%	82.2%
Female	82.9%	N/A	N/A
Male	74.4%	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	65.6%	N/A	N/A
English Language Learners	68.2%	N/A	N/A
Students With Disabilities	55.1%	N/A	N/A
Bottom 30%	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Details Attendance Data

Student Group	Statewide	District	School
All Students	94.7%	95.4%	N/A

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



#### Accountability Status District Data

District Name	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score		Science Score		Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	----------------	------------	--	------------------	--	----------------------------	-------------------	------------------

No Data to Display



#### Accountability Status School Data

District Name	School Name		U	Reading Score		U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U					Social Studies Status			Overall Score	
------------------	----------------	--	---	------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	-----------------------------	--	--	------------------	--

No Data to Display



## Annual Education Report

## Webberville High School

#### Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School	0	9	8	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

#### Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

#### Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



#### NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	77	34	5
Male Female	51 49	22 23	78 77	36 32	6 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	47 53 #	36 10 ‡	64 90 ‡	17 49 ‡	1 9 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 4 1 # 3	15 53 38 11 ‡ ‡	85 47 62 89 ‡ ‡	39 10 21 58 ‡ ‡ ‡	5 # 3 19 ‡ ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	47 19	53 81	14 37	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	5 95	42 22	58 78	16 35	1 5

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	39	22	7
Male Female	51 49	31 34	39 39	23 21	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55 #	48 19 ‡	39 40 ‡	12 30 ‡	2 11 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	69 20 4 3 1 # 2	23 66 38 11 ‡ ‡	43 29 44 18 ‡ ‡ ‡	26 5 15 39 ‡ ‡ ‡	7 # 4 32 \$ \$ \$
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	11 89	77 27	19 41	3 24	# 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	54 32	33 39	11 22	2 7

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1 1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 1	26 68 58 26 0 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) 2015 Mathematics Achievement.



#### NAEP Grade 4 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	37	63	29	5
Male Female	50 50	39 34	61 66	26 31	5 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	48 52 #	50 24 ‡	50 76 ‡	16 40 ‡	1 8 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 14 6 4 1 # 3	32 66 49 16 ‡ 30	68 34 51 84 ‡ 70	32 9 17 49 ‡ \$ 37	6 1 1 15 ‡ ‡ 8
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	76 32	24 68	7 31	# 6
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	4 96	52 36	48 64	16 29	2 5

# Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	24	44	29	3
Male Female	51 49	29 20	45 42	25 34	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	45 55 #	37 14 ‡	45 43 ‡	17 39 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian/Pacific Islander American Indian/Alaska Native Two or More Races	69 20 4 3 1 2	18 47 27 13 ‡ ‡	44 44 41 35 ‡ ‡	34 9 29 41 ‡	3 # 3 10 ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	64 20	30 45	5 32	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	57 23	37 44	6 30	# 3

# Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0 0	20 52 34 21 0 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

# Rounds to zero

*‡* Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2015 Reading Assessment.



#### NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	
4	Math Reading	87 73	1.9 3.7		2.0 2.5
8	Math Reading	84 76	3.6 3.3	84 83	5.2 4.0